UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,252	06/09/2005	Dirk A Heerding	P51399	1871
	7590 03/21/200 BEECHAM CORPOR	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			HAVLIN, ROBERT H	
	9 SSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/538,252	HEERDING ET A	HEERDING ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ROBERT HAVLIN	1626				
<i>T</i> Period for F	the MAILING DATE of this communication ap Leply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.76 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutive received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONO, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 C</u>	October 2007					
•							
′=	/ 						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
O.C.	and in accordance with the practice under the	in parto Quayro, 1000 C.B	. 11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition	of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	☑ Claim(s) <u>45-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>55</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ Cla)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45,46,50,51,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.						
•							
•	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
		•					
Application	Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) <u></u> The	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Ар	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) / 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	l Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
i apei inc	(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Other	_ ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims: Claims 45-55 are currently pending. On 5/1/07 applicant cancelled claims 1-44 and provided new claims 45-55.

Priority: This application is a 371 of PCT/US03/39633 (12/12/2003) which claims benefit of 60/433,482 (12/13/2002).

Response to Applicants Arguments

- 1. Claims 45-54 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ajito et al.** (WO 99/38849, US 6451800), in view of **Ayal-hershkovitz et al.** (WO 02/060374) and **Raeymaekers et al.** (US 4,859,684).
- 2. Applicant argues that the cited prior art is not relevant to an obviousness determination because the references do not teach the identical disorder of the instant claims.
- 3. Specifically, applicant argues that Ajito is only relevant to people who want to increase platelet activity. This argument is not persuasive because a reference need not address the identical problem to be considered relevant prior art. The fact that the reference teaches methods closely related to the method of the instant claim is sufficient to lead one of ordinary skill in the art to consider its teachings.
- 4. Applicant also argues that Ayal-herskovitz would not be useful to one of ordinary skill in the art because, although it does teach the compounds as useful for thrombocytopenia (identical to the instant claims), they would have no reason to believe it. This argument is not persuasive because a reference is good for all that it teaches.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

5. Applicant also agues that the compounds of Raeymaekers would not be useful to those of ordinary skill in the art in arriving at the instant invention of a method of treating thrombocytopenia. The examiner has pointed out the structure of the compounds of Ajito and Ayal-herskovitz are so closely related to Raeymaekers that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine their teachings to arrive at the instant invention. Furthermore, Raeymaekers teaches compounds useful for treating disorders relating to androgens which are known in the art to be related to thrombocytopenia conditions, for example Danazol is a modified androgen useful for treating thrombocytopenic conditions. Therefore, Raeymaekers was well within the technical grasp of one of ordinary skill in the art for consideration.

6. The rejection of 47, 48, 49, and 52 is withdrawn because applicant's arguments point out the distinguishing structural characteristic of the species in the claim. For example, the following compounds are distinguished from the prior art references:

Application/Control Number: 10/538,252

Art Unit: 1626

 $5-\{2-[6-(3,4-\text{dichloro-phenyl})-\text{pyridin-}2-\text{yl}]-1\text{h-benzoimidazol-}5-\text{ylmethylene}\}-2-\text{thioxo-thioxolidin-}4-\text{one}$

(E)-3-{2-[6-(4-tert-Butyl-phenyl)-pyridin-2-yl]-1H-benzoimidazol-5-yl}-2-methyl-acrylic acid

 $5\hbox{-}[2\hbox{-}(4'\hbox{-}tert\hbox{-}butyl\hbox{-}biphenyl\hbox{-}}3yl)\hbox{-}1h\hbox{-}benzoimidazol\hbox{-}}5\hbox{-}ylmethylene]\hbox{-}2\hbox{-}thioxo\hbox{-}thioxolidin\hbox{-}}4\hbox{-}one$

Rejection of claims 45, 46, 50, 51, 53, and 54 is maintained.

Conclusion

The claims are not in condition for allowance. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.**Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT HAVLIN whose telephone number is (571)272-9066. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached at (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Havlin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626 /Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626